Figure: 19 TAC §109.1001(e)(4)

School FIRST - Rating Worksheet Dated [June 2019] April 2020 for Rating Years 2017-2018 through 2019-2020

Fiscal Year Ended June 30, _____, or August 31, ____

Indicator number	School FIRST Worksheet based on Fiscal Year End Data							
Critical Indicators								
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?							
2	Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.							
2.A	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)	Yes	No					
2.B	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)							
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)	Yes	No					
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies?	Yes	No					
5	Was the total unrestricted net position balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's [ehange] increase of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) Note: This indicator will not be utilized for the 2018-2019 rating year.	Yes	No					

	Solvency Indicators	Points
6	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)	10
7	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? (See ranges below.)	10
8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's [ehange] increase of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) (See ranges below.)	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	10
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service? (See ranges below.)	10
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	10
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	10

Page 1 District 17-18 thru 19-20

Financial Competence Indicators					
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	10			
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	10			
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an overallocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	10			

Maximum possible points	100

Page 2 District 17-18 thru 19-20

[School FIRST Determination of Points																			
Indicator number		10	8 6 4				2				0									
6	≥	90	<	90	≥	75	<	75	≥	60	<	60	≥	45	<	45	≥	30	<	30
7	≥	3	<	3	≥	2.5	<	2.5	≥	2	<	2	≥	1.5	<	1.5	≥	1	<	1
8	≤	0.60	>	0.60	≤	0.70	>	0.70	≤	0.80	>	0.80	≤	0.90	>	0.90	≤	1.00	>	1.00
9	≥	0%	10 points	s are awarded	if the sc	hool district	has at lea	ast 60 days ca	sh on ha	and as detern	nined in ir	ndicator #6.							<	0%
10	≥	1.20	<	1.20	≥	1.15	<	1.15	≥	1.10	<	1.10	≥	1.05	<	1.05	≥	1.00	<	1.00
Indicator number		10 8 6 4 2									0									
11 ADA Size			1			<u> </u>			Thres	hold Ratio (l	pased on	ADA size)								
10,000 and Above	≤	0.0855	>	0.0855	≤	0.1105	>	0.1105	≤	0.1355	>	0.1355	≤	0.1605	>	0.1605	≤	0.1855	>	0.1855
5,000 to 9,999	≤	0.1000	>	0.1000	≤	0.1250	>	0.1250	≤	0.1500	>	0.1500	≤	0.1750	>	0.1750	≤	0.2000	>	0.2000
1,000 to 4,999	≤	0.1151	>	0.1151	≤	0.1401	>	0.1401	≤	0.1651	>	0.1651	≤	0.1901	>	0.1901	≤	0.2151	>	0.2151
500 to 999	≤	0.1311	>	0.1311	≤	0.1561	>	0.1561	≤	0.1811	>	0.1811	≤	0.2061	>	0.2061	≤	0.2311	>	0.2311
Less than 500	≤	0.2404	>	0.2404	≤	0.2654	>	0.2654	≤	0.2904	>	0.2904	≤	0.3154	>	0.3154	≤	0.3404	>	0.3404
Sparse	≤	0.3364	>	0.3364	≤	0.3614	>	0.3614	≤	0.3864	>	0.3864	≤	0.4114	>	0.4114	≤	0.4364	>	0.4364
Indicator number		10																		0
12		Yes																		No
13		Yes																		No
14		Yes																		No
15		Yes																		No

Determination of School District Rating	
Did the school district fail any of the critical indicators 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A? If so, the school district's rating is F for Substandard Achievement regardless of points earned.	
Determine the rating by the applicable number of points.	Points
A = Superior Achievement	90 through 100
B = Above Standard Achievement	80 through 89
C = Meets Standard Achievement	60 through 79
F = Substandard Achievement (The school district receives an F if it scores below the minimum passing score, if it failed any critical indicator 1, 3, 4, 5, or 2.A, if the AFR or the data were not both complete, or if either the AFR or the data were not submitted on time for FIRST analysis.)	0 through 59
No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, [Chapter 41] Chapter 49. No rating will be issued f territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.	

Page 3 District 17-18 thru 19-20

Indicator Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively? No Calculation In	Calculation Defined avolved
days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal	nvolved
Review the AFR for an unmodified opinion and material weaknesses. The school district must pass 2.A to pass this indicator. The school district fails indicator number 2 if it responds "No" to indicator 2.A. or to both indicators 2.A and 2.B.	ct must pass 2.A
Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)	nvolved
Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)	nvolved
Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)	nvolved
Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies? (Payments to the TRS and TWC are considered timely if a warrant hold that was issued in connection to the untimely payment was cleared within 30 days from warrant holds, inf	C, if not cleared within 30 days. For governmental agencies there is no wed. The agency will use the AFR, formation from the IRS and other a [determinations] determination of
appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position greater than zero? (If the school district's [ehange] increase of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.) Note: This indicator will not be utilized for the 2018-2019 rating year. A = Number of stream to be a Number of stream to stream to stream to be a Number of stream to st	OR (D + E + F + G) > 0, where tudents in membership in year 5 from sudents in membership in base year; or 5 year percent [change] increase in the position balance in the ivities column in Exhibit A-1 to Position) in the annual financial interest for capital appreciation bonds; Liability (NPL), as applicable imployment Benefits (OPEB)
Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? $ \begin{array}{c} [(A + B) / (C - D)] \\ A = Cash & Equiv \\ B = Current Investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? C = Total Expend D = Facilities Accurrent. $	valents; stments;
Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? $ \frac{A / B, \text{ where}}{A = \text{Current Asset}} $ $ B = \text{Current Liabilities} $	

8	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's [ehange] increase of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)	A / B, where A = Long Term Liabilities B = Total Assets
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?	[A / (B - C) - 1] > 0, where A = Total Revenue B = Total Expenditures C = Facilities Acquisition and Construction
10	Was the debt service coverage ratio sufficient to meet the required debt service?	(A - B + C + D + E) /C, where A = Total Revenue (in the General Fund and Debt Service Fund); B = Total Expenditures (in the General Fund and Debt Service Fund); C = Debt Service (function codes 71, 72, and 73 in the General Fund and Debt Service Fund) D = Fund Code 599 (Ending Debt Service fund balance) E = Capital Outlay (function code 81 in the General Fund)
11	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?	(A/B) < threshold based on district ADA size, where A = Sum of amounts for function codes 21 and 41; B = Sum of amounts for function codes 11, 12, 13, and 31 *Includes object codes 61XX-64XX in fund code 199, except 6144
12	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)	(A / B) - 1 > -0.15 or C - D > 0, where A = Student to Staff ratio in the year under review; B = Student to Staff ratio 3 years prior to the year under review; C = Enrollment in year under review; D = Enrollment 3 years prior to the year under review
13	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	(A / B) < C, where A = Sum of the absolute values of all differences in expenditures (determined by function) between Exhibit C-2 (Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance) and PEIMS, by function in Fund Code 199; B = Sum of expenditures in PEIMS by function in fund code 199; C = Threshold level variance, which = 3%
14	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	No Calculation Involved
15	Did the school district not receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an overallocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds as a result of a financial hardship?	No Calculation Involved