

5 CSR 20-400—DEPARTMENT OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

APPENDIX A Criteria for Local District Career Ladder Plans REQUIRED ELEMENTS OF THE DISTRICT CAREER LADDER PLAN

The local district shall develop and submit to the Department of Elementary and Secondary Education a District Career Ladder Plan (DCLP). This plan will provide the organizational basis for the district's career ladder. Development of a career ladder is voluntary for local school districts. When districts establish a career ladder plan, they also must accept the responsibility of raising the local portion of the funding. Teachers who clearly meet the Qualifications and Responsibilities established as specific criteria (168.500.2(3), RSMo) for the district Career Ladder shall have a reasonable expectation of participating on the career ladder.

- I. The DCLP shall contain a statement requiring that all responsibilities in the teacher's Career Development Plan directly and obviously relate to improvement of programs and services for students as outlined in the District School Improvement Plan, Curriculum Development Plan, Professional Development Plan, Missouri School Improvement Program or instructional improvement.
- II. The DCLP shall contain three (3) stages and the qualifications for each stage. The annual supplemental pay shall not exceed \$1,500 for Stage I, \$3,000 for Stage II, or \$5,000 for Stage III. The state's payment is contingent upon appropriations for this purpose (168.515.1., RSMo). This supplemental pay shall be in addition to that which the teacher would normally be accorded by the district's salary schedule (168.505.1., RSMo).
- III. Each career ladder stage shall contain specific qualifications to be completed prior to application (168.500.2., RSMo). These qualifications shall include:
 - A. Five (5) years of teaching experience in Missouri public schools for Stage I (168.500.2(5), RSMo).
 - B. A clearly defined level of performance relative to the district's Performance Based Teacher Evaluation (PBTE) process for each stage on the career ladder (168.500.2(3), RSMo).

As stated in the state Model for PBTE, the EXPECTED PERFORMANCE LEVEL is a designation accorded to an EFFECTIVE teacher. Performance which clearly meets the district's expected performance level shall be required for Stage I and performance which meets and exceeds the expected level shall be required for Stages II and III:

- At Stage I, the teacher shall show evidence of performance at the expected level on all of the criteria on the most recent final evaluation instrument in the district's PBTE system.
- Stage II, the teacher shall show evidence of performance at the expected level on all of the criteria on the most recent final evaluation instrument and above the EXPECTED level on 10% of the evaluative criteria included in the district's PBTE system with at least one (1) of the criteria in the area of instructional process for teachers and librarians, guidance counseling process for counselors and the process area most closely related to specific job performance as it relates to students for school psychological examiners, parents as teachers educators, school psychologists, special education diagnosticians and speech pathologists.
- At Stage III, the teacher shall show evidence of performance at the expected level on all of the criteria on the most recent final evaluation instrument and above the EXPECTED level on 15% of the summative evaluative criteria included in the district's PBTE system with at least one (1) of the criteria in the area of instructional process for teachers and librarians, guidance counseling process for counselors and the process area most closely related to specific job performance as it relates to students for school psychological examiners, parents as teachers educators, school psychologists, special education diagnosticians and speech pathologists.

In the PBTE system, the key emphasis is on the EXPECTED level of performance (EFFECTIVE teaching) and the percentage figures should be viewed as significant performance above the EXPECTED level. Unless otherwise pproved by the department, the DCLP shall require no more than the percentages stated above.

- C. A Career Development Plan to be organized by the teacher.
 - The Career Development Plan shall contain the responsibilities to be completed by the teacher while on the career ladder, and provisions for verifying completion of these responsibilities. Following approval by the district, these plans may be amended for good cause.
- To qualify for Stage II, a teacher shall have completed a Career Development Plan and two (2) years of service on Stage I of the career ladder. One (1) year of service on the previous stage may be waived by the local Board of Education based upon a total of seven (7) years teaching in the public schools.
 - To qualify for Stage III, a teacher must have completed a Career Development Plan and three (3) years service on Stage II of the career ladder. Two (2) years of this service on the previous stage may be waived by the local Board of Education based upon a total of ten (10) years teaching in the public schools.
- D. Appropriate certification in subject area for each teacher, except upon good cause shown (168.500.2., RSMo).
- E. Full-time regular length contract.
- F. The DCLP may contain additional qualifications deemed appropriate by the local Board of Education to the extent they are consistent with the provisions of 168.500—l68.515, RSMo.

30 CODE OF STATE REGULATIONS (2/28/13) JASON KANDER Secretary of State



- IV. Each career ladder stage shall contain responsibilities commensurate and adjustable to the compensation offered for that stage that will be completed by the teacher while on the career ladder. These responsibilities shall directly and obviously relate to the improvement of programs and services for students as outlined in the District School Improvement Plan, Curriculum Development Plan, Professional Development Plan, Missouri School Improvement Program or instructional improvement. Responsibilities shall be detailed in the teacher's Career Development Plan.
- V. The local school district shall show evidence of teacher, administrator, and patron involvement in the development of the DCLP. Teachers selected for involvement should be chosen by teachers in the district.
- VI. A Career Ladder Review Committee, consisting of teachers selected by other teachers in the district and administrators shall present to the local board a list of Career Ladder participants that have been approved for payment.
- VII. The DCLP shall contain provisions for assessment of the district's career ladder. Plans will be made for periodic assessment of the district's career ladder under the direction of the local board of education with assistance from administrators, teachers, and patrons. Criteria for assessment shall include, but not be limited to, benefits for schools and students, and teacher interest and participation.
- VIII. The DCLP shall contain the instrument, procedures and forms used in the district's PBTE process.
 - IX. The DCLP shall contain evidence of continuous training for evaluators in PBTE. This training shall be comprehensive in nature and include, but not be limited to, knowledge of effective teaching, formative observation, summative evaluation and assistance to teachers in improvement of instruction. Training shall also address procedures for consistency and reliability among evaluators.
 - X. The DCLP shall contain procedures for appealing decisions made regarding approval or denial of application and placement on the career ladder (168.510, RSMo), including the right to substantive and procedural appeals of the PBTE. Procedures shall include, but not necessarily be limited to, the following:
 - A. An opportunity to have the decision reviewed by the authority/committee rendering the initial decision;
 - B. An opportunity to have the decision rendered in "A" reviewed by the superintendent of schools; and
 - C. An opportunity to have the decision rendered in "B" reviewed by the local board of education.

 Appeal procedures shall be implemented in a timely fashion. All decisions made with respect to a teacher's application to, and placement on, any stage of the career ladder shall be based on the qualifications for that stage as stated in the DCLP.
- XI. The DCLP shall contain provisions for recognition of teacher mobility from one participating district to another within this state (168.500.4., RSMo).

The Department of Elementary and Secondary Education will periodically review local district plans and will collect information from local districts regarding the career ladder process. The local district must advise the department regarding amendments to the DCLP adopted by the local board of education following approval of the original DCLP by the department.



The Missouri Career Ladder Model

Adopted November 1995

Participation in the Career Ladder is voluntary for school districts and for individual educators.

	STAGE I	פאמצ	STAGE II		STACE 111
Qualifications	Responsibilities	Qualifications	Responsibilities	Qualifications	Sestionalhilities
1 Plus traves countiles	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				estivitet suckers
forman confident	Career Stage L educator	1. Successful completion	Career Stage II aducator	1. Successful completion	Career Stade III
Tanossin in missonit	Will select	of the Stage I Career	will select	of the Stage II Career	educator will salace
puolite acrools.	responsibilities	Development Plan.	responsibilities	Development Plan.	responsibilities
	directly and obviously	Educator must complete	directly and obviously	Educator must complete	directly and obstantly
4. Appropriate	related to any of the	two years on Stage I.	related to any of the	three veers on Stade	related to any of the
certification.	following:	Local board of	followings	11 1000) board of	teraced to emy or the
		education may waive one		TO Proper model of	:Butwortos
3. Eligible educator	. District School	Year of this	District Sobool	state of this	
serving on a regular-	Improvement Plan	Tedui resent hased spoor	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Tests of tills	. District School
length, full-time		A total of each warrant	retransment stan	requirement based upon	Improvement Plan
contract.	. Curriculum Dewalonmont	and the second second		a rotal of 10 years	
	Plan	Table Tolks	. Curriculum Development	prior experience.	 Curriculum Development
4. PBTE.* Educator meets			FLED		Plan
"expected" level on all	Brofess	appridatidy .y		2. Appropriate	
of the criteria on the	Thiologians.	Certaticacion.	. Professional	certification.	. Professional
Boat renear (1913)	DEVELOPMENT FLAN		Development Plan		Development Plan
THE PERSON NAMED IN		J. Regular-length, full-		3. Regular-length, full-	
evaluation instrument	. Missouri School	time contract.	. Missouri School	time contract.	. Missouri School
on the district's	Improvement Plan		Improvement Plan		Tables and the Land
performance based		4. PBTE.* Educator meets	•	4. Phre. * Educator scats	Harry attendance of
evaluation.	. instructional	on all and exceeds	. instructions?	on all and account	
	1mprovement	"expected" performance		On all and exceeds	. instructional
5. Educator will prepare a		Jave co 104 of	The condition	expected berosence	improvement
Career Development		20 201 10 42 20		level on 15% on the	
P1-13		CITCHING ON CHE BOST	[Responsibilities must	most recent final	[Responsibilities must
-		recent final evaluation	railect high levals of	evaluation instrument.	reflact high levels of
		instrument, one of the	sophistication.1	One of the criteria	30 stage util realist
		criteria above expected		THE PARTY OF THE P	acparation.
		Jeves 2 4411 he 45		*Dove expected level	
		DI ad TIBITO TOAT		shall be in the area	
		the after most closely		most closely related to	
		related to job		job performance as it	
		performance as 1t		relates to students.	
		relates to students.			
				5. Educator will prepare a	
		5. Educator will prepare a		Career Development	
		Career Development		Plan. [Responsibilities	
		Plan. [Responsibilities		at Stage III must	
		at Stage II must		display binher leads	
		display higher levels		of sophistication 1	
'PBTEPerformance based		of sophistication.]			
evaluation, as required		•			
inder Section 168.128,					
Agrico.		-0.20			